arXiv:1302.7237v1 [math.SP] 28 Feb 2013

STABILITY OF ASYMPTOTICS OF CHRISTOFFEL-DARBOUX KERNELS

JONATHAN BREUER[1,3], YORAM LAST[1,3] AND BARRY SIMON[2,3]

Abstract. We study the stability of convergence of the Christoffel-Darboux kernel, associated with a compactly supported measure, to the sine kernel, under perturbations of the Jacobi coefficients of the measure. We prove stability under variations of the boundary conditions and stability in a weak sense under ℓ^1 and random ℓ^2 diagonal perturbations. We also show that convergence to the sine kernel at x implies that $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$.

1. Introduction

Let $d\mu(x) = w(x)dx + d\mu_{\text{sing}}(x)$ be a compactly supported probability measure with an infinite number of points in its support, where μ_{sing} denotes the part of μ which is singular with respect to Lebesgue measure. Let $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the normalized orthogonal polynomials for $d\mu$ and let $\{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the Jacobi parameters defined by

$$\begin{aligned} xp_n(x) &= a_{n+1}p_{n+1}(x) + b_{n+1}p_n(x) + a_n p_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1 \\ xp_0(x) &= a_1 p_1(x) + b_1 p_0(x), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

and satisfying $a_n > 0$, $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sup_n (a_n + |b_n|) < \infty$ (note $p_0(x) \equiv 1$ by the normalization).

The nth Christoffel-Darboux (CD) kernel associated with μ, $K_n(\mu; x, y)$, is the kernel of the projection from $L^2(d\mu)$ to the subspace spanned by $\{1, x, x^2, \ldots, x^{n-1}\}$. Namely,

$$K_n(\mu; x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_j(x)p_j(y). \tag{1.2}$$

The asymptotics of $K_n(x, y)$ for $x - y \sim \frac{1}{n}$ as $n \to \infty$ has been a topic of intensive study recently, motivated in part by the connection to the asymptotic behavior of zeros of p_n (see [2, 26, 27, 40]), and to the problem of

---

[1] Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University, 91904 Jerusalem, Israel. E-mail: jbreuer@math.huji.ac.il; ylast@math.huji.ac.il. Supported in part by The Israel Science Foundation (Grant No. 1105/10).

[2] Mathematics 253-37, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA. E-mail: bsimon@caltech.edu. Supported in part by NSF Grant No. DMS-0968856.

[3] Research supported in part by Grant No. 2010348 from the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF), Jerusalem, Israel.

universality in random matrix theory (see e.g., [8, 21, 31]). In particular, the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{K_n\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}, x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)}{n} = \frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(b-a)\right)}{\pi w(x_0)(b-a)}, \tag{1.3}$$

has been shown to hold for large classes of measures μ, whenever x_0 is a Lebesgue point of μ ([2, 13, 14, 28, 29, 30, 35, 40] is a very partial list of relevant references). In (1.3), $\rho(x_0)$ is some positive number. In all known examples, ρ is the density (i.e. the derivative with respect to Lebesgue measure) of the weak limit of the sequence $\frac{K_n(x,x)}{n}d\mu(x)$. We will want to avoid such a restriction below.

As is well known [38, 39], there is a one to one correspondence (through (1.1)) between compactly supported probability measures with infinite support and bounded real sequences $\{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfying $a_n > 0$ for all n. Given a measure, μ, with Jacobi parameters $\{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, and a perturbing sequence $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, it is natural to ask what properties of μ carry over to the measure μ_β associated with the Jacobi parameters $\{a_n, b_n+\beta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$.

The purpose of this paper is to study the stability of (1.3) under such perturbations. We shall focus on points where μ has some regularity. More precisely,

Definition 1.1. We say x_0 is a strong Lebesgue point for μ if the following conditions hold:
(*i*) Letting $F_\mu(z) = \int \frac{d\mu(t)}{t-z}$ be the Stieltjes transform of μ,

$$F_\mu(x_0+i0) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+} F_\mu(x_0+i\varepsilon) \tag{1.4}$$

exists and is finite.
(*ii*) The derivative of μ with respect to Lebesgue measure exists and is positive at x_0, namely

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{\mu(x_0-\varepsilon, x_0+\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon} = w(x_0) > 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Moreover, x_0 is a Lebesgue point of w:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \int_{x_0-\varepsilon}^{x_0+\varepsilon} \frac{|w(t)-w(x_0)|}{2\varepsilon} dt = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

Remark 1.1. Note that (1.5) and (1.6) imply immediately that the part of μ that is singular with respect to Lebesgue measure satisfies

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{\mu_{\text{sing}}\left(x_0-\varepsilon, x_0+\varepsilon\right)}{2\varepsilon} = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

Maximal function methods [33] show that almost every x_0 w.r.t. μ_{ac}(=the part of μ that is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure) satisfies (1.5) and (1.6). Similar methods also show that Lebesgue almost every x_0 satisfies (1.4) (see [34, Theorem I.4]). Thus, almost every point with respect to μ_{ac} is a strong Lebesgue point of μ.

Definition 1.2. We say that *quasi bulk universality* (or simply *quasi universality*) holds for μ at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ if x_0 is a strong Lebesgue point of μ and (1.3) holds uniformly for a, b in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, for some positive number $\rho(x_0)$.

Remark 1.2. Using the uniform convergence on compacts and the continuity of the function $\frac{\sin(x-y)}{x-y}$, it is not hard to see that Definition 1.2 is equivalent to the following two conditions (given that x_0 is a strong Lebesgue point):
i) Uniformly for $a, b \in$ compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{K_n\left(x_0 + \frac{a}{w(x_0)K_n(x_0,x_0)}, x + \frac{b}{w(x_0)K_n(x_0,x_0)}\right)}{K_n(x_0,x_0)} = \frac{\sin\left(\pi(b-a)\right)}{\pi(b-a)}, \quad (1.8)$$

(known as *weak bulk universality*).
ii) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{K_n(x_0,x_0)w(x_0)}{n} = \rho(x_0)$.

Remark 1.3. In the case that $\frac{K_n(x,x)}{n} d\mu(x)$ has a weak limit, ν (aka 'the density of states' or density of zeros of p_n [36]), and if $\rho(x_0)$ is the density of ν at the point x_0, quasi bulk universality implies bulk universality [2].

In a sense, the simplest nontrivial perturbing sequence, $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, is a sequence satisfying $\beta_n = 0$ for all $n \neq 1$. In order to treat this case, we first consider the problem (which is interesting in its own right) of the consequences of universality for the second kind CD kernel.

For this, recall that the *second kind* orthogonal polynomials associated with μ, $\{q_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, are defined by

$$q_n(x) = \int \frac{p_n(x) - p_n(t)}{x-t} d\mu(t). \quad (1.9)$$

Note that q_n is a polynomial of degree $(n-1)$ for $n \geq 1$, and $q_0 = 0$. Moreover (see Section 2 below), $a_1 q_n$ are the orthonormal polynomials with respect to the measure μ' whose Jacobi coefficients are $\{a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. We let

$$\widetilde{K}_n(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q_j(x) q_j(y)$$

be the *second kind* CD kernel and we let $\widetilde{\mu}$ be the orthogonality measure of the second kind orthogonal polynomials (so $\widetilde{\mu} = a_1^2 \mu'$). We can now state our first main result, which we shall prove in Section 3.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that μ has compact support and x_0 is a strong Lebesgue point of μ. Assume further that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{K_n\left(x_0 + \frac{a}{n}, x_0 + \frac{b}{n}\right)}{n} = \frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(b-a)\right)}{\pi w(x_0)(b-a)} \quad (1.10)$$

uniformly for a, b in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, for some positive number $\rho(x_0)$.

Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\widetilde{K}_n\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}, x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)}{n} = \frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(b-a)\right)}{\pi\widetilde{w}(x_0)(b-a)} \tag{1.11}$$

uniformly for a, b *in compact subsets of* $\mathbb{C}$*, where* $\widetilde{w}(x_0) \neq 0$ *is the weight of* $d\widetilde{\mu}$ *at* x_0*.*

Remark 1.4. There is an extensive literature, going back to Kato, on the stability of absolutely continuous spectrum of Schrödinger operators and Jacobi matrices [1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 32]. The asymptotics of $K_n(x,y)$ for $x-y \sim \frac{1}{n}$ as $n\to\infty$ are connected to the microscopic behavior of zeros of p_n which are eigenvalues of truncated operators (for example, universality implies clock behavior [27, 37]) and so stability of universality is a delicate issue. To the best of our knowledge, the current paper is the first work to deal with the issue of stability of these asymptotics.

Now, let $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\mu^{(\beta_1)}$ be the orthogonality measure whose Jacobi parameters are $\{a_n, b_n + \beta_1\delta_{n1}\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Denote the corresponding orthogonal polynomials by $\{p_n^{(\beta_1)}\}_{n=0}^\infty$, and the corresponding CD kernel

$$K_n^{(\beta_1)}(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_j^{(\beta_1)}(x)p_j^{(\beta_1)}(y). \tag{1.12}$$

As we show in Section 3, Theorem 1.3 implies

Theorem 1.4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, for any* $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$*,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{K_n^{(\beta_1)}\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}, x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)}{n} = \frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(b-a)\right)}{\pi w^{(\beta_1)}(x_0)(b-a)} \tag{1.13}$$

uniformly for a, b *in compact subsets of* $\mathbb{C}$*, where* $w^{(\beta_1)}(x_0) \neq 0$ *is the weight of* $d\mu^{(\beta_1)}$ *at* x_0*.*

Remark 1.5. As we note in the proof of Theorem 1.5, the proof of Theorem 1.3 yields an interesting formula for the limit of the symmetrized mixed CD kernel under the conditions of the theorem as well (see (4.3) and (4.4)). It is essentially this formula, together with the limit of $\widetilde{K}_n$, which is at the heart of the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 say that quasi universality is stable under a perturbation of b_1, with the additional bonus that the number $\rho(x_0)$ remains the same after the perturbation. Note that the limiting behavior of $\frac{K_n(x,x)d\mu(x)}{n}$ is stable under such a perturbation so in the case that ρ is the density of this limit then this part of the stability is trivial. If we remove this restriction and allow x_0 to vary over a set of positive Lebesgue measure, we can also treat more general perturbations. The following two theorems are proven in Section 4.

Theorem 1.5. *Assume that μ has compact support with Jacobi parameters $\{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and that quasi universality holds at Lebesgue almost every $x \in A$. Let $\{\beta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers satisfying*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\beta_k| < \infty.$$

Then quasi universality holds at Lebesgue a.e. $x \in A$ also for the measure corresponding to $\{a_n, b_n + \beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

For random perturbations we can allow slower decay:

Theorem 1.6. *Assume that μ has compact support with Jacobi parameters $\{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, orthogonal polynomials $\{p_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, and second kind orthogonal polynomials $\{q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Assume that quasi universality holds at Lebesgue almost every $x \in A$. Let $\{\beta_{\omega,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with zero mean satisfying*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\beta_{\omega,k}^2\right) \left(|p_k(x)| + |p_{k-1}(x)| + |q_k(x)| + |q_{k-1}(x)|\right)^4 < \infty \tag{1.14}$$

for Lebesgue a.e. $x \in A$.

Then, with probability one, quasi universality holds at Lebesgue a.e. $x \in A$ also for the measure corresponding to $\{a_n, b_n + \beta_{\omega,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Remark 1.6. We shall prove Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 by showing that the existence of $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{K_n(x,x)}{n}$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\widetilde{K}_n(x,x)}{n}$, for a.e. x, is stable under the perturbations considered. The results of [2] then say that the existence of these limits for a.e. x implies (1.8) for a.e. x (they prove it there for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, but the proof extends to $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$). Letting $\rho(x) \equiv \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{K_n(x,x)}{n} w(x)$ we see, by Remark 1.2, that these conditions imply quasi universality at x. Theorem 1.3 completes the picture to show that in fact quasi universality almost everywhere is equivalent to the a.e. existence of these limits.

If we assume that $\frac{K_n(x,x)}{n} d\mu(x)$ has a weak limit, ν, and that ν is absolutely continuous with weight $\widetilde{\rho}(x)$, then this limit is stable under the perturbations of Theorem 1.5 and 1.6. However, even if we assume that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{K_n(x,x)w(x)}{n} = \widetilde{\rho}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in A$, we do not know how to deduce this convergence for the CD kernel of the perturbed problem. The issue is that in general weak convergence and the existence of a pointwise limit do not guarantee that the pointwise limit coincides with the weak limit. Equality of these limits would follow, for example, if we know that $\frac{K_n(x,x)}{n}$ is uniformly bounded on an interval, but we do not want to assume this. This is the reason behind our notion of quasi universality.

On physical grounds, one expects a connection between local continuity of μ at x and the asymptotics of $\frac{K_n\left(x+\frac{a}{n}, x+\frac{b}{n}\right)}{n}$. In particular, for all known examples where μ is absolutely continuous at x, universality has been shown to hold there. A significant motivating factor for this paper was the fact that absolute continuity of μ is stable under the perturbations considered above.

It is important to note, however, that universality can occur also for purely singular measures, as demonstrated in [4]. Unfortunately, we have nothing to say on the issue of stability of universality in such a case. Still, the work in [4] raises an interesting question: assuming universality holds at x, what can one say about the local continuity of μ there? The next theorem, proven in Section 5, says that universality at x implies that μ cannot have a pure point there.

Theorem 1.7. *Assume μ has compact support. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If there exists a number $\rho(x) > 0$ such that for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{K_n\left(x+\frac{a}{n}, x+\frac{b}{n}\right)}{K_n(x,x)} = \frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x)(b-a)\right)}{\pi\rho(x)(b-a)}, \tag{1.15}$$

then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} K_n(x,x) = \infty. \tag{1.16}$$

Remark 1.7. The condition (1.16) is equivalent to $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$. This is because μ has a pure point at x iff the operator of multiplication by t on $L^2(d\mu(t))$ has an eigenvalue at x. Since $\{p_j(\cdot)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(d\mu)$, this holds iff $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |p_j(x)|^2 < \infty$.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 has some preliminary facts we shall need from the theory of rank one perturbations. The proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are given in Section 3. The proofs of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 are given in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 has the proof of Theorem 1.7.

2. Preliminaries

Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$, whose support is a compact, infinite set. Then μ is the spectral measure of the operator of multiplication by x on the space $L^2(d\mu(x))$. The recursion relation (1.1) says that in the orthonormal basis $\{p_n(\cdot)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, this operator is given by a Jacobi matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & a_2 & b_3 & a_3 & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

Thus μ is the spectral measure of the operator J on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and the vector

$$\delta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

If follows that, for $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, the measure $\mu^{(\beta_1)}$ corresponding to the Jacobi parameters $\{a_n, b_n + \beta_1 \delta_{n1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is also the spectral measure of the Jacobi matrix

$$J^{(\beta_1)} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1+\beta_1 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & a_2 & b_3 & a_3 & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

But $J^{(\beta_1)}\psi = J\psi + \beta_1 \langle \delta_1, \psi \rangle \delta_1$, namely a rank one perturbation of J. Thus, we need some facts from the theory of rank one perturbations of self-adjoint operators. A comprehensive review of the relevant theory is given in [34]. Here we shall merely collect the facts we will use.

We first define the Stieltjes (aka Cauchy/Borel) transform of μ by

$$F_\mu(z) = \int \frac{d\mu(t)}{t-z}. \tag{2.3}$$

F_μ is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{supp}(\mu)$ and has positive imaginary part on $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{z \mid \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0\}$. The limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} F(x+i\varepsilon) \equiv F(x+i0)$ exists for strong Lebesgue points of μ and is related to μ through the fact that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_\mu(x+i\varepsilon)dx \to d\mu(x) \tag{2.4}$$

weakly as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. In fact, for the absolutely continuous part we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_\mu(x+i0) = w(x) \tag{2.5}$$

whenever x is a strong Lebesgue point of μ, in the sense that the limit exists at x and is equal to $w(x)$ there. This implies that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} F_\mu(x+i0)dx = d\mu_{\mathrm{ac}}(x) \tag{2.6}$$

since a.e. point with respect to μ_{ac} is a strong Lebesgue point of μ.

The identification

$$F_\mu(z) = \langle \delta_1, (J-z)^{-1}\, \delta_1 \rangle,$$

through the spectral theorem, and the resolvent formula

$$\left(J^{(\beta_1)} - z\right)^{-1} - (J-z)^{-1} = -\beta_1 \left\langle \delta_1, \left(J^{(\beta_1)} - z\right)^{-1} \cdot \right\rangle (J-z)^{-1}\, \delta_1$$

imply that

$$F_\mu^{(\beta_1)}(z) = \frac{F_\mu(z)}{1+\beta_1 F_\mu(z)} \tag{2.7}$$

where $F_\mu^{(\beta_1)}(z) = \int \frac{d\mu^{(\beta_1)}(t)}{t-z}$. This immediately implies

$$\operatorname{Im} F_\mu^{(\beta_1)}(z) = \frac{\operatorname{Im} F_\mu(z)}{|1+\beta_1 F_\mu(z)|^2} = \frac{\operatorname{Im} F_\mu(z)}{1 + 2\beta_1 \operatorname{Re}(F_\mu(z)) + \beta_1^2 \, |F_\mu(z)|^2} \tag{2.8}$$

so that for x a strong Lebesgue point of μ,

$$\begin{aligned} w^{(\beta_1)}(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\operatorname{Im} F_\mu(x+i0)}{1+2\beta_1 \operatorname{Re}(F_\mu(x+i0))+\beta_1^2\,|F_\mu(x+i0)|^2} \\ &= \frac{w(x)}{1+2\beta_1 \operatorname{Re}(F_\mu(x+i0))+\beta_1^2\,|F_\mu(x+i0)|^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

The case "$\beta_1=\infty$" is of particular significance. By [15, Section 4] (also see [34]), as $\beta_1\to\infty$, $J^{(\beta_1)}$ converges in the strong resolvent sense to the operator

$$J^{(\infty)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & b_2 & a_2 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & a_2 & b_3 & a_3 & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.10}$$

In addition, if $\widetilde{\mu}$ is the spectral measure of $J^{(\infty)}$ and the vector $J\delta_1 - \langle \delta_1, J\delta_1\rangle\delta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$, then

$$F_{\widetilde{\mu}}(z) = -\frac{1}{F_\mu(z)} \tag{2.11}$$

which means that

$$\operatorname{Im} F_{\widetilde{\mu}}(z) = \frac{\operatorname{Im} F_\mu(z)}{|F_\mu(z)|^2}. \tag{2.12}$$

The connection of this to q_n, the second kind polynomials associated with μ, is through the fact that if p_n^∞ are the orthogonal polynomials associated with the Jacobi matrix

$$\widetilde{J} = \begin{pmatrix} b_2 & a_2 & 0 & \dots & \\ a_2 & b_3 & a_3 & \ddots & \\ 0 & a_3 & b_4 & \ddots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

then $q_n(x) = a_1^{-1} p_{n-1}^\infty(x)$. Since $\widetilde{\mu}$ is a_1^2 times the orthogonality measure of the p_n^∞, we see that q_n is precisely the $(n-1)$'th ortho*normal* polynomial with respect to $\widetilde{\mu}$. Thus, $\widetilde{\mu}$ is the measure of orthogonality for the q_n's. It follows from (2.5), (2.6), and (2.12) that whenever x is a strong Lebesgue point of μ we may use

$$\widetilde{w}(x) = \frac{w(x)}{|F(x+i0)|^2} \tag{2.13}$$

for the Radon-Nikodym derivative, $\widetilde{w}$, of $\widetilde{\mu}$.

An important part of our analysis rests on the fact that the limits above can be defined somewhat more generally. In fact, for any a with $\operatorname{Im} a > 0$,

$$F(x+i0) = \lim_{n\to\infty} F\left(x + \frac{a}{n}\right)$$

whenever $F(x+i0)$ exists. Also note that for such a,

$$\overline{F(x+i0)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} F\left(x + \frac{\overline{a}}{n}\right).$$

To deduce Theorem 1.4 from Theorem 1.3 we need to express $p_n^{(\beta_1)}$ as a linear combination of p_n and q_n. This is possible since both sequences p_n and q_n satisfy the same recursion relation (with different boundary conditions). Since $q_0(x) \equiv 0$ and $p_0(x) = p_0^{(\beta_1)}(x) = 1$, it is obvious that $p_n^{(\beta_1)}(x) = p_n(x) + \gamma q_n(x)$. By plugging this into (1.1) for $p_n^{(\beta_1)}$ we immediately see that $\gamma = -\beta_1$ and so

$$p_n^{(\beta_1)}(x) = p_n(x) - \beta_1 q_n(x). \tag{2.14}$$

It will be convenient for us to write the recursion relation in matrix form: letting

$$S_j(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z-b_j}{a_j} & -\frac{1}{a_j} \\ a_j & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.15}$$

we see that

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_n(z) \\ a_n p_{n-1}(z) \end{pmatrix} = S_n(z) \begin{pmatrix} p_{n-1}(z) \\ a_n p_{n-2}(z) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.16}$$

Note also that $\det\left(S_j(z)\right) = 1$. The *transfer matrix* is defined by

$$\Phi_n(z) = S_n(z) S_{n-1}(z) \dots S_1(z) \tag{2.17}$$

so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_n(z) \\ a_n p_{n-1}(z) \end{pmatrix} = \Phi_n(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} -q_n(z) \\ -a_n p_{n-1}(z) \end{pmatrix} = \Phi_n(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.19}$$

Thus we see that

$$\Phi_n(z) = \begin{pmatrix} p_n(z) & -q_n(z) \\ a_n p_{n-1}(z) & -a_n q_{n-1}(z) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.20}$$

Finally, we note that, by the fact that $\det \Phi_n(z) = 1$,

$$\|\Phi_n(z)\| = \|\left(\Phi_n(z)\right)^{-1}\|. \tag{2.21}$$

3. Proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4

We first prove the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.3*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\left(\int\frac{K_n\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n},s\right)}{\left(x_0+\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}d\mu(s)\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\pi\rho(x_0)\,(s-a)}{\pi(s-a)(b-s)}ds, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\left(\int\frac{K_n\left(x_0+\frac{b}{n},t\right)}{\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}-t\right)}d\mu(t)\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\pi\rho(x_0)\,(t-b)}{\pi(t-b)(a-t)}dt, \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}&\left(\int\int\frac{K_n(t,s)}{\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(x_0+\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}d\mu(t)d\mu(s)\right)\\ &=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\pi\rho(x_0)\,(t-s)}{\pi(t-s)(t-a)(s-b)}w(x_0)dtds\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for any a,b *with* $\mathrm{Im}(a)>0$*,* $\mathrm{Im}(b)<0$*.*

Proof. We first prove (3.3). For simplicity of notation we assume $x_0=0$.

Fix $M>0$, and let $I_n=[-\frac{M}{n},\frac{M}{n}]$. We split the integral as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\int\int\frac{K_n(t,s)d\mu(t)d\mu(s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}&=\int_{I_n}\int_{I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)d\mu_{\mathrm{ac}}(t)d\mu_{\mathrm{ac}}(s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}\\ &+\int_{I_n}\int_{I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)d\mu_{\mathrm{ac}}(t)d\mu_{\mathrm{sing}}(s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}+\int_{I_n}\int_{I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)d\mu_{\mathrm{sing}}(t)d\mu_{\mathrm{ac}}(s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}\\ &+\int_{I_n}\int_{I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)d\mu_{\mathrm{sing}}(t)d\mu_{\mathrm{sing}}(s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}+\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\int_{I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)d\mu(t)d\mu(s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}\\ &+\int_{I_n}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)d\mu(t)d\mu(s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}+\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)d\mu(t)d\mu(s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}.\end{aligned}$$

We evaluate the first term by changing variables

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{I_n}\int_{I_n}&\frac{K_n(t,s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}d\mu_{\mathrm{ac}}(t)d\mu_{\mathrm{ac}}(s)\\ &=\int_{I_n}\int_{I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}w(t)w(s)dtds\\ &=\int_{-M}^{M}\int_{-M}^{M}\frac{K_n(t/n,s/n)}{(a-t)\,(b-s)}w(t/n)w(s/n)dtds.\end{aligned}$$

By the fact that $\frac{K_n\left(\frac{a}{n},\frac{b}{n}\right)}{n} \to \frac{\sin(\pi\rho(0)(b-a))}{\pi w(0)(b-a)}$ as $n\to\infty$, uniformly on compacts, together with the fact that 0 is a Lebesgue point of w, we see that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\left(\int_{I_n}\int_{I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}d\mu_{\text{ac}}(t)d\mu_{\text{ac}}(s)\right)$$
$$=\int_{-M}^{M}\int_{-M}^{M}\frac{\sin\pi\rho(0)\,(t-s)}{\pi(t-s)(t-a)(s-b)}w(0)dtds$$

which converges to the desirable limit as $M\to\infty$. Suppose we show that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\int_{I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}d\mu(t)d\mu(s)=O(M^{-1/2}) \tag{3.4}$$

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\int_{I_n}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}d\mu(t)d\mu(s)=O(M^{-1/2}), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}d\mu(t)d\mu(s)=O(M^{-1/2}), \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\int_{I_n}\int_{I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}d\mu_{\text{sing}}(t)d\mu(s)=0. \tag{3.7}$$

Then, by taking first $n\to\infty$ and then $M\to\infty$, we are done.

For any sets $I,J\subseteq\mathbb{R}$, write

$$\left|\int_I\int_J\frac{K_n(t,s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}d\mu(t)d\mu(s)\right|$$
$$=\left|\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\int_I\frac{p_j(t)}{\frac{a}{n}-t}d\mu(t)\int_J\frac{p_j(s)}{\frac{b}{n}-s}d\mu(s)\right|$$
$$\le\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|\int_I\frac{p_j(t)}{\frac{a}{n}-t}d\mu(t)\right|^2\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|\int_J\frac{p_j(s)}{\frac{b}{n}-s}d\mu(s)\right|^2\right)^{1/2}$$
$$\le\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|\int_I\frac{p_j(t)}{\frac{a}{n}-t}d\mu(t)\right|^2\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|\int_J\frac{p_j(s)}{\frac{b}{n}-s}d\mu(s)\right|^2\right)^{1/2},$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz, and note that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|\int_I\frac{p_j(t)d\mu(t)}{\frac{z}{n}-t}\right|^2=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\int_I\frac{p_j(t)d\mu(t)}{\frac{z}{n}-t}\int_I\frac{p_j(s)d\mu(s)}{\frac{\overline{z}}{n}-s}=\int_I\frac{d\mu(t)}{\left|\frac{z}{n}-t\right|^2},$$

for any z with $\mathrm{Im}(z)\neq 0$, by the completeness of $\{p_j(\cdot)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ in $L^2(d\mu)$.

It follows that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\int_{I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)d\mu(t)d\mu(s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}\right|\le\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\frac{d\mu(t)}{\left|\frac{a}{n}-t\right|^2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{I_n}\frac{d\mu(s)}{\left|\frac{b}{n}-s\right|^2}\right)^{1/2},$$

$$\left|\int_{I_n}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)d\mu(t)d\mu(s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}\right|\leq\left(\int_{I_n}\frac{d\mu(t)}{\left|\frac{a}{n}-t\right|^2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\frac{d\mu(s)}{\left|\frac{b}{n}-s\right|^2}\right)^{1/2},$$

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)d\mu(t)d\mu(s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}\right|$$
$$\leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\frac{d\mu(t)}{\left|\frac{a}{n}-t\right|^2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\frac{d\mu(s)}{\left|\frac{b}{n}-s\right|^2}\right)^{1/2},$$

and (by further restricting from I_n to a supporting set of zero Lebesgue measure for μ_{sing})

$$\left|\int_{I_n}\int_{I_n}\frac{K_n(t,s)d\mu_{\text{sing}}(t)d\mu(s)}{\left(\frac{a}{n}-t\right)\left(\frac{b}{n}-s\right)}\right|\leq\left(\int_{I_n}\frac{d\mu_{\text{sing}}(t)}{\left|\frac{a}{n}-t\right|^2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{I_n}\frac{d\mu(s)}{\left|\frac{b}{n}-s\right|^2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus, if we show that

$$\int_{I_n}\frac{d\mu(t)}{\left|\frac{a}{n}-t\right|^2}=O(n), \tag{3.8}$$

$$\int_{I_n}\frac{d\mu_{\text{sing}}(t)}{\left|\frac{a}{n}-t\right|^2}=o(n), \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\frac{d\mu(t)}{\left|\frac{a}{n}-t\right|^2}=O\left(\frac{n}{M}\right) \tag{3.10}$$

for any $a\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Im}(a)\neq 0$, then (3.4)–(3.7) will follow.

Note that x_0 being a strong Lebesgue point of μ implies that for sufficiently large n, $\mu\left(\left[-\frac{M}{n},t\right]\right)\lesssim t+\frac{M}{n}$ for any $-\frac{M}{n}<t\leq\frac{M}{n}$. Regarding μ_{sing}, for any $\varepsilon>0$, for n large enough (depending on ε), $\mu_{\text{sing}}\left(\left[-\frac{M}{n},t\right]\right)\leq\varepsilon\left(t+\frac{M}{n}\right)$ for any $-\frac{M}{n}<t\leq\frac{M}{n}$. Thus, integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{I_n}\frac{d\mu(t)}{\left|\frac{a}{n}-t\right|^2}&\lesssim n^2\mu(I_n)\frac{1}{|a-M|^2}+\left|\int_{I_n}\frac{\mu\left(\left[-\frac{M}{n},t\right]\right)}{\left|\frac{a}{n}-t\right|^3}dt\right|\\
&\lesssim\frac{nM}{|a-M|^2}+\int_{I_n}\frac{\left|t+\frac{M}{n}\right|}{\left|t-\frac{a}{n}\right|^3}dt\\
&\leq\frac{nM}{|a-M|^2}+n\int_{-M}^{M}\frac{|t+M|}{|t-a|^3}dt\\
&=\frac{nM}{|a-M|^2}+n\int_0^{2M}\frac{t}{|t-M-a|^3}dt\\
&\lesssim\frac{nM}{|a-M|^2}+n\left(\frac{2M}{|M-a|^2}\right)+n\int_0^{2M}\frac{1}{|t-M-a|^2}dt\\
&\leq\frac{nM}{|a-M|^2}+n\left(\frac{2M}{|M-a|^2}\right)+n\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{|t-a|^2}dt=O(n)\end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant is *independent of* M. This is (3.8).

In the same way,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{I_n}\frac{d\mu_{\text{sing}}(t)}{\left|\frac{a}{n}-t\right|^2} &\lesssim n^2\mu_{\text{sing}}(I_n)\frac{1}{|a-M|^2}+\left|\int_{I_n}\frac{\mu_{\text{sing}}\left(\left[-\frac{M}{n},t\right]\right)}{\left|\frac{a}{n}-t\right|^3}dt\right| \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon\frac{nM}{|a-M|^2}+\varepsilon\int_{I_n}\frac{\left|t+\frac{M}{n}\right|}{\left|t-\frac{a}{n}\right|^3}dt \\ &\le \varepsilon\frac{nM}{|a-M|^2}+\varepsilon n\int_{-M}^{M}\frac{t+M}{|t-a|^3}dt \\ &= \varepsilon\frac{nM}{|a-M|^2}+\varepsilon n\int_{0}^{2M}\frac{t}{|t-M-a|^3}dt=O(n)\varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\int_{I_n}\frac{d\mu_{\text{sing}}(t)}{\left|\frac{a}{n}-t\right|^2}\lesssim\varepsilon$$

for ε arbitrarily small. This is (3.9).

As for (3.10), following [6] we define $H_n=\left[-\frac{M}{n^{1/3}},\frac{M}{n^{1/3}}\right]$ and split the integral over H_n. For $M\ge 2|a|$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\frac{d\mu(t)}{\left|\frac{a}{n}-t\right|^2}\le 4\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_n}\frac{d\mu(t)}{t^2}=4\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus H_n}\frac{d\mu(t)}{t^2}+4\int_{H_n\setminus I_n}\frac{d\mu(t)}{t^2}.$$

Since μ is a probability measure and $t\notin H_n$ satisfies $t^2\ge\frac{M^2}{n^{2/3}}$ we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus H_n}\frac{d\mu(t)}{t^2}\le\frac{n^{2/3}}{M^2}.$$

For the remaining integral we use (again) integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{H_n\setminus I_n}\frac{d\mu(t)}{t^2} &\lesssim \frac{n^{2/3}}{M^2}\mu\left(H_n\setminus I_n\right)+\int_{M/n}^{M/n^{1/3}}\frac{\mu\left(\left[\frac{M}{n},t\right]\right)+\mu\left(\left[-t,-\frac{M}{n}\right]\right)}{t^3}dt \\ &\lesssim \frac{M}{n^{1/3}}\frac{n^{2/3}}{M^2}+\int_{M/n}^{M/n^{1/3}}\frac{t-\frac{M}{n}}{t^3}dt\lesssim\frac{n}{M}+n\int_{M}^{n^{2/3}M}\frac{t-M}{t^3}dt \\ &\lesssim \frac{n}{M}+n\int_{M}^{\infty}\frac{t-M}{t^3}dt=O\left(\frac{n}{M}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The last two inequalities imply (3.10) and thus finish the proof of (3.3).

The proof of (3.1) and (3.2) follows the same strategy of the proof of [6, Theorem 3.1] with the following modifications: first, $K_n(x,x)w(x)$ of that proof (denoted in [6] by $\widetilde{K}_n(x,x)$) needs to be replaced by n and the appropriate modifications made to the limit. For this purpose note that, by (1.10), $\lim\frac{K_n(x_0,x_0)w(x_0)}{n}=\rho(x_0)$. Second, the condition of the measure μ being purely absolutely continuous in a neighborhood of 0 may be relaxed to the conditions satisfied by μ here. The appropriate changes to the proof proceed by using integration by parts arguments in much the same way as we did above. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We first prove (1.11) for a, b satisfying $\mathrm{Im}(a) > 0$, $\mathrm{Im}(b) < 0$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\widetilde{K}_n\left(x_0 + \frac{a}{n}, x_0 + \frac{b}{n}\right)}{n} &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q_j\left(x_0 + \frac{a}{n}\right) q_j\left(x_0 + \frac{b}{n}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\int \frac{p_j\left(x_0 + \frac{a}{n}\right) - p_j(t)}{x_0 + \frac{a}{n} - t} d\mu(t) \int \frac{p_j\left(x_0 + \frac{b}{n}\right) - p_j(s)}{x_0 + \frac{b}{n} - s} d\mu(s)\right) \\
&= A_n + B_n + C_n
\end{aligned}$$

where A_n, B_n, C_n are obtained by carrying out the multiplication and collecting the terms, so

$$\begin{aligned}
A_n &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(p_j\left(x_0 + \frac{a}{n}\right) p_j\left(x_0 + \frac{b}{n}\right)\left(\int \frac{d\mu(t)}{x_0 + \frac{a}{n} - t} \int \frac{d\mu(s)}{x_0 + \frac{b}{n} - s}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{K_n\left(x_0 + \frac{a}{n}, x_0 + \frac{b}{n}\right)}{n}\left(\int \frac{d\mu(t)}{x_0 + \frac{a}{n} - t} \int \frac{d\mu(s)}{x_0 + \frac{b}{n} - s}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$B_n = \frac{1}{n}\left(\int\int \frac{K_n(t, s)}{\left(x_0 + \frac{a}{n} - t\right)\left(x_0 + \frac{b}{n} - s\right)} d\mu(t) d\mu(s)\right),$$

and

$$C_n = \frac{-1}{n}\left(\int\int \frac{K_n\left(x_0 + \frac{a}{n}, s\right) + K_n\left(x_0 + \frac{b}{n}, t\right)}{\left(x_0 + \frac{a}{n} - t\right)\left(x_0 + \frac{b}{n} - s\right)} d\mu(t) d\mu(s)\right).$$

By (1.10), the fact that x_0 is a strong Lebesgue point, and the fact that $\mathrm{Im}(a)\,\mathrm{Im}(b) < 0$ we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = \frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(b - a)\right)}{\pi w(x_0)(b - a)} \left|F(x + i0)\right|^2 = \frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(b - a)\right)}{\pi \widetilde{w}(x_0)(b - a)}.$$

Where we used (2.13) to write $\widetilde{w}(x) = \frac{w(x_0)}{|F(x_0+i0)|^2}$.

By (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty} B_n &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)\,(t - s)\right)}{\pi(t - s)(t - a)(s - b)} w(x_0) dt ds \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)\,(t - s)\right)}{\pi(t - s)(t - a)(s - b)} dt ds \frac{\mathrm{Im}\left(F(x_0 + i0)\right)}{\pi} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)\,(t - s)\right)}{\pi^2(t - s)(t - a)(s - b)} dt ds \left(\frac{F(x_0 + i0) - \overline{F(x_0 + i0)}}{2i}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and by (3.1) and (3.2), together with

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int\frac{d\mu(t)}{x_0+\frac{b}{n}-t}=F(x_0+i0)=\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}\int\frac{d\mu(t)}{x_0+\frac{a}{n}-t}},$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\to\infty}C_n\\&=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(s-a)\right)\overline{F(x_0+i0)}+\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(s-b)\right)F(x_0+i0)}{\pi(s-a)(s-b)}ds.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the limiting expressions for A_n, B_n and C_n, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\widetilde{K}_n\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n},x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)}{n}-\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(b-a)\right)}{\pi\widetilde{w}(x_0)(b-a)}\\&=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(s-a)\right)\overline{F(x_0+i0)}+\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(s-b)\right)F(x_0+i0)}{\pi(s-a)(s-b)}ds\\&\quad+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)\left(t-s\right)\right)}{\pi^2(t-s)(t-a)(s-b)}dtds\left(\frac{F(x_0+i0)-\overline{F(x_0+i0)}}{2i}\right)\\&\quad+o(1).\end{aligned}$$

This step of the proof will therefore be complete if we show that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(s-a)\right)}{\pi(s-a)(s-b)}ds&=-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(s-b)\right)}{\pi(s-a)(s-b)}ds\\&=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)\left(t-s\right)\right)}{2\pi^2i(t-s)(t-a)(s-b)}dtds.\end{aligned}$$

We first write

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)\left(t-s\right)\right)}{2\pi^2i(t-s)(t-a)(s-b)}dtds\\&\quad=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{ds}{2\pi i(s-b)}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)\left(t-s\right)\right)}{\pi(t-s)(t-a)}dt.\end{aligned}$$

Now, the inner integral can be evaluated using contour integration, by first deforming $\mathbb{R}$ into a path, Γ, which differs from $\mathbb{R}$ only by bypassing s along a small semicircle through the lower half-plane around s. We then split the integrand as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)\left(t-s\right)\right)}{\pi(t-s)(t-a)}dt=\int_{\Gamma}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)\left(t-s\right)\right)}{\pi(t-s)(t-a)}dt\\&\quad=\int_{\Gamma}\frac{e^{i(\pi\rho(x_0)(t-s))}-e^{-i(\pi\rho(x_0)(t-s))}}{2\pi i(t-s)(t-a)}dt\\&\quad=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}\frac{e^{i(\pi\rho(x_0)(t-s))}}{(t-s)(t-a)}dt-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}\frac{e^{-i(\pi\rho(x_0)(t-s))}}{(t-s)(t-a)}dt.\end{aligned}$$

The first integral is evaluated by contour integration through the upper half-plane to show:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \frac{e^{i(\pi\rho(x_0)(t-s))}}{(t-s)(t-a)}dt = \frac{e^{i\pi\rho(x_0)(a-s)}}{(a-s)} + \frac{1}{(s-a)} = \frac{e^{i\pi\rho(x_0)(a-s)}-1}{(a-s)},$$

and the second integral is evaluated through the lower half-plane to show:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \frac{e^{-i(\pi\rho(x_0)(t-s))}}{(t-s)(t-a)}dt = 0.$$

Thus we see that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)\left(t-s\right)\right)}{2\pi^2 i(t-s)(t-a)(s-b)}dtds = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{e^{i\pi\rho(x_0)(a-s)}-1}{2\pi i(s-b)\left(a-s\right)}ds.$$

Now note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{e^{i\pi\rho(x_0)(a-s)}-1}{2\pi i(s-b)\left(a-s\right)}ds - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(s-a)\right)}{\pi(s-a)(s-b)}ds \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{e^{i\pi\rho(x_0)(a-s)}-1}{2\pi i(s-b)\left(a-s\right)}ds - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(a-s)\right)}{\pi(a-s)(s-b)}ds \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{e^{i\pi\rho(x_0)(a-s)}-1}{2\pi i(s-b)\left(a-s\right)}ds - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{e^{i(\pi\rho(x_0)(a-s))}-e^{-i(\pi\rho(x_0)(a-s))}}{2\pi i(a-s)(s-b)}ds \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-i(\pi\rho(x_0)(a-s))}-1}{2\pi i(a-s)(s-b)}ds = 0
\end{aligned}$$

by contour integration through the upper half-plane! (Note that a is *not* a pole of the integrand and the integrand decays like $|s|^{-2}$ as $|s|\to\infty$ in the upper half plane).

By writing

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)\left(t-s\right)\right)}{2\pi^2 i(t-s)(t-a)(s-b)}dtds \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dt}{2\pi i(t-a)}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)\left(s-t\right)\right)}{\pi(s-t)(s-b)}ds
\end{aligned}$$

and carrying out the analogous computation (essentially, interchanging the roles of "upper half-plane" and "lower half-plane" in the argument above) we see that also

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(s-b)\right)}{\pi(s-a)(s-b)}ds = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)\left(t-s\right)\right)}{2\pi^2 i(t-s)(t-a)(s-b)}dtds$$

which finishes the first step of the proof. Since it will be important again later, we note we have shown that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(s-a)\right)}{\pi(s-a)(s-b)}ds = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(s-b)\right)}{\pi(s-a)(s-b)}ds. \tag{3.11}$$

Now, by taking $b = \overline{a}$ we see that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|q_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right|^2$$

is bounded uniformly on compact sets of $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$. Since this is true also for

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|p_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right|^2,$$

we deduce, using Cauchy-Schwarz and the boundedness of a_n, that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left\|\Phi_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right\|^2$$

is bounded uniformly on compact sets of $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$.

It now follows from a modification of the proof of [2, Theorem 3] that in fact

$$\sup_n \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left\|\Phi_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right\|^2 < \infty$$

is bounded uniformly for a in compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$. Explicitly, note that for any $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\left\|S_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right) - S_j\left(x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)\right\| \le \alpha_-^{-1}\frac{|a-b|}{n},$$

where $\alpha_- = \inf_n a_n > 0$ (which follows from [11] since μ has a non-trivial absolutely continuous component). Writing

$$\Phi_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)^{-1}\Phi_j\left(x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right) = (1+B_j)(1+B_{j-1})\dots(1+B_1),$$

with

$$B_k = \Phi_k\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)^{-1}\left(S_k\left(x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right) - S_k\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right)\Phi_{k-1}\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right),$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\Phi_j\left(x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)\right\| \\
&\le \left\|\Phi_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right\|\exp\left(\frac{\alpha_-^{-1}|a-b|}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left\|\Phi_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right\|\left\|\Phi_{j-1}\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right\|\right) \\
&\le \left\|\Phi_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right\|\exp\left(\frac{\alpha_-^{-1}|a-b|}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left\|\Phi_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right\|^2\right) \\
&\le \left\|\Phi_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right\|\exp\left(\frac{\alpha_-^{-1}|a-b|}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left\|\Phi_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right\|^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

(Note that $\|B_k\| \leq \left\|\Phi_k\left(x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)\right\| \left\|\Phi_{k-1}\left(x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)\right\| \frac{\alpha_-^{-1}|a-b|}{n}$, by its definition and (2.21); also note that $\|1+B_k\| \leq \exp\left(\|B_k\|\right)$).

Now, if

$$\sup_n \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left\|\Phi_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right\|^2 = C,$$

it follows that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left\|\Phi_j\left(x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)\right\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left\|\Phi_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right\|^2 \exp\left(2C\alpha_-^{-1}|a-b|\right)$$

and we see that

$$\sup_n \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left\|\Phi_j\left(x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)\right\|^2 < \infty$$

is bounded uniformly for b in compact sets of $\mathbb{C}$.

Now, by Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right) q_j\left(x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)\right| \\ &\qquad \leq \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left|q_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right|^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left|q_j\left(x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)\right|^2\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that for any fixed $a \in \mathbb{C}$, the family

$$\widetilde{g}_n(b) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right) q_j\left(x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)$$

is a normal family. Fixing $a \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$, we note that the limit on a set with a limit point determines the limit and so we get that for any fixed $a \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$ and any $b \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\widetilde{K}_n\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}, x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)}{n} = \frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(b-a)\right)}{\pi\widetilde{w}(x_0)(b-a)}.$$

Fixing now $b \in \mathbb{C}$ we see that the family

$$\widetilde{h}_n(a) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q_j\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}\right) q_j\left(x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)$$

is a normal family, which implies finally that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\widetilde{K}_n\left(x_0+\frac{a}{n}, x_0+\frac{b}{n}\right)}{n} = \frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x_0)(b-a)\right)}{\pi\widetilde{w}(x_0)(b-a)}$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, uniformly in compact sets. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, it is enough to prove (1.13) for a, b such that $\mathrm{Im}(a) > 0$, $\mathrm{Im}(b) < 0$. (Note that the second kind polynomials for $\mu^{(\beta_1)}$ are still $\{q_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$).

Using (2.14), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} K_n^{(\beta_1)}(x,y) &= K_n(x,y) + \beta_1^2 \widetilde{K}_n(x,y) \\ &\quad - \beta_1 \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q_j(x) p_j(y) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q_j(y) p_j(x) \right) \\ &= \left(1 - \beta_1 \int \frac{d\mu(t)}{x-t} - \beta_1 \int \frac{d\mu(t)}{y-t} \right) K_n(x,y) + \beta_1^2 \widetilde{K}_n(x,y) \\ &\quad - \beta_1 \left(\int \frac{K_n(x,t) d\mu(t)}{y-t} + \int \frac{K_n(y,t)}{x-t} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where the last equality was obtained by substituting $q_j(x) = \int \frac{p_j(x) - p_j(t)}{x-t} d\mu(t)$ and collecting the terms. Now, all we have to do is compute the appropriate limits for $x = x_0 + \frac{a}{n}$ and $y = x_0 + \frac{b}{n}$. By Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\int \frac{K_n\left(x_0 + \frac{a}{n}, t\right) d\mu(t)}{x_0 + \frac{b}{n} - t} + \int \frac{K_n\left(x_0 + \frac{b}{n}, t\right)}{x_0 + \frac{a}{n} - t} \right) \\ &= - \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \pi\rho(x_0)(s-a)}{\pi(s-a)(s-b)} ds + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \pi\rho(x_0)(s-b)}{\pi(s-a)(s-b)} ds \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by (3.11).

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(1 - \beta_1 \int \frac{d\mu(t)}{x_0 + \frac{a}{n} - t} - \beta_1 \int \frac{d\mu(t)}{x_0 + \frac{b}{n} - t} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(1 + \beta_1 \int \frac{d\mu(t)}{t - x_0 - \frac{a}{n}} + \beta_1 \int \frac{d\mu(t)}{t - x_0 - \frac{b}{n}} \right) \\ &= 1 + \beta_1 (F(x_0 + i0) + \overline{F(x_0 + i0)}) = 1 + 2\beta_1 \mathrm{Re}(F(x_0 + i0)) \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} K_n^{(\beta_1)} \left(x_0 + \frac{a}{n}, x_0 + \frac{b}{n} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} (1 + 2\beta_1 \mathrm{Re}(F(x_0 + i0))) \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} K_n \left(x_0 + \frac{a}{n}, x_0 + \frac{b}{n} \right) \\ &\quad + \beta_1^2 \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \widetilde{K}_n \left(x_0 + \frac{a}{n}, x_0 + \frac{b}{n} \right) \\ &= \left(1 + 2\beta_1 \mathrm{Re}(F(x_0 + i0)) + \beta_1^2 \left| F(x_0 + i0) \right|^2 \right) \frac{\sin \pi\rho(x_0)(b-a)}{\pi w(x_0)(b-a)} \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 1.3. But by (2.9) this is precisely

$$\frac{\sin \pi\rho(x_0)(b-a)}{\pi w^{(\beta_1)}(x_0)(b-a)}.$$

We are done. □

4. Proofs of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6

Both the proof of Theorem 1.5 and that of 1.6 are standard applications of variation of parameters methods. In the proofs we give, we explain the connection and then refer to relevant theorems from the literature.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $\mu^{(\beta)}$ be the spectral measure of the perturbed Jacobi matrix and write $p_k^{(\beta)}$ and $q_k^{(\beta)}$ for the first and second kind orthogonal polynomials, respectively, associated with the measure $\mu^{(\beta)}$. Theorem 3 and Corollary 1.3 of [2] say that if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |p_j^{(\beta)}(x)|^2 \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |q_j^{(\beta)}(x)|^2 \tag{4.2}$$

both exist and are finite for a.e. $x \in A$ then quasi universality holds for a.e. $x \in A$. We shall show that this is indeed the case.

First note that Lebesgue a.e. point of A is a strong Lebesgue point of μ. Thus, it follows from the assumptions of the theorem and Theorem 1.3 that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |p_j(x)|^2$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |q_j(x)|^2$$

both exist and are finite for a.e. $x \in A$. We claim also that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_j(x) q_j(x)$$

exists and is finite for a.e. $x \in A$. To see this, consider

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right) q_j\left(x+\frac{b}{n}\right) + p_j\left(x+\frac{b}{n}\right) q_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right) \tag{4.3}$$

for a, b satisfying $\operatorname{Im}(a) > 0$, $\operatorname{Im}(b) < 0$. By the arguments in the proof of Theorem 1.4, if x is a strong Lebesgue point of μ and quasi universality holds at x, then this converges, as $n \to \infty$, to

$$2\operatorname{Re}(F(x+i0))\frac{\sin \pi\rho(x)(b-a)}{\pi w(x)(b-a)}. \tag{4.4}$$

Using the same normal family argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.3 we see that this convergence holds for every $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, taking $a = b = 0$, we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_j\left(x\right) q_j\left(x\right) = \operatorname{Re}(F(x+i0))\frac{\rho(x)}{w(x)}$$

for every strong Lebesgue point of μ where quasi universality holds. In particular, the limit exists and is finite for Lebesgue a.e. $x \in A$.

To prove (4.1) and (4.2) we use variation of parameters. We write

$$p_k^{(\beta)}(x) = u_{1,k}(x)p_k(x) + u_{2,k}(x)q_k(x),$$

$$p_{k-1}^{(\beta)}(x) = u_{1,k}(x)p_{k-1}(x) + u_{2,k}(x)q_{k-1}(x),$$

and

$$q_k^{(\beta)}(x) = v_{1,k}(x)p_k(x) + v_{2,k}q_k(x),$$

$$q_{k-1}^{(\beta)}(x) = v_{1,k}(x)p_{k-1}(x) + v_{2,k}q_{k-1}(x).$$

Suppose that we know that $u_k(x) = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1,k}(x) \\ u_{2,k}(x) \end{pmatrix}$ converges to $u(x) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1(x) \\ u_2(x) \end{pmatrix}$ as $k \to \infty$, then it is not hard to see that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_{1,j}(x)^2 p_j(x)^2 \to u_1(x)^2 \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_j(x)^2,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_{2,j}(x)^2 q_j(x)^2 \to u_2(x)^2 \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q_j(x)^2,$$

as $n \to \infty$. With a little more work (using Cauchy-Schwartz), it follows that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_{1,j}(x)u_{2,j}(x)p_j(x)q_j(x) \to u_1(x)u_2(x) \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_j(x)q_j(x).$$

But from writing

$$p_j^{(\beta)}(x)^2 = u_{1,j}(x)^2 p_j(x)^2 + u_{2,j}(x)^2 q_j(x)^2 + 2u_{1,j}(x)u_{2,j}(x)p_j(x)q_j(x)$$

we see that the existence of these limits implies (4.1). Similarly, convergence of $v_k(x) = \begin{pmatrix} v_{1,k}(x) \\ v_{2,k}(x) \end{pmatrix}$ implies (4.2).

Thus, proving the convergence of $u_k(x)$ and $v_k(x)$ for a.e. $x \in A$ will prove the theorem. Note that since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(p_j(x)^2 + q_j(x)^2\right) < \infty$ at Lebesgue a.e. $x \in A$, A (up to a set of zero Lebesgue measure) is a subset of the essential support of the a.c. part of both μ and $\widetilde{\mu}$, where $\widetilde{\mu}$ is the orthogonality measure of the $\{q_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, (see, e.g., [25]). Thus, the restrictions of both μ_{ac} and $\widetilde{\mu}_{\text{ac}}$ to A are equivalent to Lebesgue measure (and are also mutually equivalent). From

$$\int \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\beta_k| p_k^2(x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\beta_k| < \infty$$

and

$$\int \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\beta_k| q_k^2(x) d\widetilde{\mu}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\beta_k| < \infty,$$

we see that for Lebesgue a.e. $x \in A$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(|\beta_k| p_k(x)^2 + |\beta_k| q_k(x)^2\right) < \infty$$

which also implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\beta_k| |p_k(x) q_k(x)| < \infty$$

for Lebesgue a.e. $x \in A$. These are precisely the conditions of the discrete version of Theorem 2.2 in [20] with $f_+ = f_- \equiv 1$ (see especially the remarks after the proof and equation (2.9) there). It follows that for a.e. $x \in A$, both $u_k(x)$ and $v_k(x)$ converge as $k \to \infty$ to a finite limit, which finishes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.6. We use precisely the same strategy where now $u_k(x)$ and $v_k(x)$ are random vectors which we need to show have limits with probability one. Here, the condition (1.14) precisely means that the conditions of Lemma 3.1 from [5] are satisfied for Lebesgue a.e. $x \in A$ (with $f_+ \equiv 1$). It follows that for Lebesgue a.e. $x \in A$, $u_k(x)$ and $v_k(x)$ converge a.s. to a finite limit. An application of Fubini finishes the proof of the theorem. □

5. Proof of Theorem 1.7

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Assume (1.15) holds but (1.16) does not. Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} K_n(x,x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (p_j(x))^2 = C < \infty. \tag{5.1}$$

By (1.15), for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} K_n\left(x + \frac{a}{n}, x + \frac{a}{n}\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(p_j\left(x + \frac{a}{n}\right)\right)^2 = C$$

and also,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} K_n\left(x+\frac{a}{n},x\right) = C\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x)a\right)}{\pi\rho(x)a}. \tag{5.2}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right)-p_j\left(x\right)\right)^2\\
&=\lim_{n\to\infty}K_n(x,x)+\lim_{n\to\infty}K_n\left(x+\frac{a}{n},x+\frac{a}{n}\right)-\lim_{n\to\infty}2K_n\left(x+\frac{a}{n},x\right)\\
&=2C\left(1-\frac{\sin\left(\pi\rho(x)a\right)}{\pi\rho(x)a}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

We shall use the fact that $C<\infty$ to show that at the same time, for any $a\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right)-p_j\left(x\right)\right)^2=0, \tag{5.4}$$

contradicting (5.3) and thus proving the theorem.

Fix $a\in\mathbb{R}$ and let $\varepsilon>0$. Define $\varepsilon'=\frac{\varepsilon}{5+\sqrt{3}}$. Let N_0 be so large that for any $n\geq N_0$

$$\sum_{j=N_0}^{n}\left(p_j(x)\right)^2\leq\left|C-\sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1}\left(p_j(x)\right)^2\right|<\varepsilon',$$

and in addition

$$\left|C-\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right)^2\right|<\varepsilon'.$$

Now let N_1 be so large that for any $n\geq N_1$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1}\left|\left(p_j(x)\right)^2-\left(p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right)^2\right|<\varepsilon',$$

and also

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1}\left(p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right)-p_j\left(x\right)\right)^2<\varepsilon'.$$

(This can clearly be done since $\{p_j\}_{j=0}^{N_0-1}$ is a finite set of continuous functions). It follows that for any $n \geq \max(N_0, N_1)$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=N_0}^{n} \left(p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right)^2 &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right)^2 - C + C - \sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1} \left(p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right)^2 \\ &\leq \left|\sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right)^2 - C\right| + \left|C - \sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1} (p_j(x))^2\right| \\ &\quad + \left|\sum_{j=0}^{N_0-1} (p_j(x))^2 - \left(p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right)^2\right| < 3\varepsilon'.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $n \geq \max(N_0, N_1)$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right) - p_j\left(x\right)\right)^2 \leq \sum_{j=N_0}^{n} \left(p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right) - p_j\left(x\right)\right)^2 + \varepsilon' \\ &= \sum_{j=N_0}^{n} (p_j(x))^2 + \sum_{j=N_0}^{n} \left(p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right)^2 - \sum_{j=N_0}^{n} p_j(x)p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right) + \varepsilon' \\ &< \varepsilon' + 3\varepsilon' + \left(\sum_{j=N_0}^{n} p_j(x)^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=N_0}^{n} \left(p_j\left(x+\frac{a}{n}\right)\right)^2\right)^{1/2} + \varepsilon' \\ &< (5+\sqrt{3})\varepsilon' = \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

We are done. □

References

[1] S. Agmon, *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and scattering theory*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. II. **2** (1975), 151–218.

[2] A. Avila, Y. Last and B. Simon, *Bulk universality and clock spacing of zeros for ergodic Jacobi matrices with a.c. spectrum*, Analysis & PDE **3** (2010), 81–108.

[3] M. Birman, *Conditions for the existence of wave operators*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **143** (1962), 506–509.

[4] J. Breuer, *Sine kernel asymptotics for a class of singular measures*, J. Approx. Theory **163** (2011), 1478–1491.

[5] J. Breuer and Y. Last, *Stability of spectral types for Jacobi matrices under decaying random perturbations*, J. Funct. Anal. **245** (2007), 249–283.

[6] J. Breuer and E. Strahov, *A universality theorem for ratios of random characteristic polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **164** (2012), 803–814.

[7] M. Christ and A. Kiselev, *Absolutely continuous spectrum for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with slowly decaying potentials: Some optimal results*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998), 771–797.

[8] P. Deift, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Random Matrices: A Riemann-Hilbert Approach*, Courant Institute Lecture Notes, **3** New York University Press, New York, 1999.

[9] P. Deift and R. Killip, *On the absolutely continuous spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with square summable potentials*, Commun. Math. Phys. **203** (1999), 341–347.

[10] S. A. Denisov, *On a conjecture by Y. Last*, J. Approx. Theory **158** (2009), 194–213.

[11] J. Dombrowski, *Quasitriangular matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1978), 95–96.

[12] V. Enss, *Asymptotic completeness for quantum mechanical potential scattering*, Commun. Math. Phys. **61** (1978), 258–291.

[13] E. Findley, *Universality for regular measures satisfying Szegő's condition*, J. Approx. Theory **155** (2008), 136–154.

[14] G. Freud, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Pergamon Press, Oxford-New York, 1971.

[15] F. Gesztesy and B. Simon, *Rank one perturbations at infinite coupling*, J. Funct. Anal. **128** (1995), 245–252.

[16] U. Kaluzhny and M. Shamis, *Preservation of absolutely continuous spectrum of periodic Jacobi operators under perturbations of square-summable variation*, Constr. Approx. **35** (2012), 89–105.

[17] T. Kato, *Perturbation of continuous spectra by trace class operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. **33** (1957), 260–264.

[18] T. Kato, *Wave operators and similarity for some non-self-adjoint operators*, Math. Ann. **162** (1966), 258–279.

[19] R. Killip and B. Simon, *Sum rules for Jacobi matrices and their applications to spectral theory*, Ann. of Math. **158** (2003), 253–321.

[20] A. Kiselev, Y. Last and B. Simon, *Stability of singular spectral types under decaying perturbations*, J. Funct. Anal. **198** (2003), 1–27.

[21] A. B. J. Kuijlaars, *Universality*, in: "Oxford Handbook on Random Matrix theory", edited by G. Akemann, J. Baik and P. Di Francesco, Oxford University Press, 2011.

[22] S. Kuroda, *Perturbations of continuous spectra by unbounded operators, I*, J. Math.Ṡoc. Japan **11** (1959), 247- -262.

[23] S. Kuroda, *Perturbations of continuous spectra by unbounded operators, II*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **12** (1960), 243– 257

[24] Y. Last, *Destruction of absolutely continuous spectrum by perturbation potentials of bounded variation*, Commun. Math. Phys. **274** (2007), 243–252.

[25] Y. Last and B. Simon, *Eigenfunctions, transfer matrices, and absolutely continuous spectrum of one-dimensional Schrdinger operators*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), 329–367.

[26] Y. Last and B. Simon, *Fine structure of the zeros of orthogonal polynomials, IV. A priori bounds and clock behavior*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **61** (2008), 486–538.

[27] E. Levin and D. Lubinsky, *Applications of universality limits to zeros and reproducing kernels of orthogonal polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **150** (2008), 69–95.

[28] E. Levin and D. Lubinsky, *Universality Limits in the bulk for varying measures*, Adv. Math. **219** (2008), 743-779.

[29] D. S. Lubinsky, *A new approach to universality involving orthogonal polynomials*, Annals of Math., **170** (2009), 915–939.

[30] D. Lubinsky, *Universality limits in the bulk for arbitrary measures on a compact set*, J. Anal. Math. **106** (2008), 373–394.

[31] D. S. Lubinsky, *Some recent methods for establishing universality limits*, J. Nonlinear Anal., **71** (2009), e2750–e2765.

[32] D. B. Pearson, *A generalization of Birman's trace theorem*, J . Funct. Anal. **28** (1978), 82–186.

[33] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.

[34] B. Simon, *Spectral analysis of rank one perturbations and applications*, Proc. Mathematical Quantum Theory, II: Schrdinger Operators, (eds. J. Feldman, R. Froese and L. Rosen), CRM Proc. Lecture Notes **8** (1995), 109-149.

[35] B. Simon, *Two extensions of Lubinsky's universality theorem*, J. Anal. Math., **105** (2008), 345–362.

[36] B. Simon, *Weak convergence of CD kernels and applications*, Duke Math. J., **146** (2009), 305–330.

[37] B. Simon, *The Christoffel-Darboux kernel*, in "Perspectives in PDE, Harmonic Analysis and Applications", pp 295–335, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **79**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.

[38] B. Simon, *Szegő's Theorem and Its Descendants: Spectral Theory for L^2 Perturbations of Orthogonal Polynomials*, M. B. Porter Lectures, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2011.

[39] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., **23**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1939; 3rd edition, 1967.

[40] V. Totik, *Universality and fine zero spacing on general sets*, Arkiv för Matematik **47** (2009), 361–391.